THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) to (e) The information is being collected, and will be placed on the Table of the House.

Anomaly in Pay-Scales of LDC/PDSs

5980. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIMES MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the wide Spread resentment among LDCs|UDC& working in the Central Government Departments due to ano maly in their pay-scales;

(b) whether Government have re. oeived any repre^ntati(jns regarding revision of their pay-Scale from Rs. 950 to Rs. 1200 and from Rs. 1200 to Rs. 1400 respectively; and

(c) if so, what decision Government have taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATE MARGARET ALVA): (a) The proposals for revision of paly scales of LDCs and UDOs working in the Central Secretariat were received. The case pertaining to UDCs was discussed in the Depart-mental Council of the Department of I^rsonnel and Training, and disagree. ment was recorded.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) In view of the position stated at (a) above_ the matter regarding UDCs has been referred to the Board of Arbitration where it is pending. In the case of LDCs, it has been decided that the matter should be refer, red to the Fifth Pay Commission for their consideration

Revision of pay scale of U.D.C

5981, SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to State;

(a) what are the details of financial implications and adverse repercus sions, Government have assessed if the existing pay scale of UDCs in Central Secretariat is revised.

(b) whether similar financial imp^ lications and adverse repercussions were also experienced in case of revision of pay Scale of Assistant of Cental Secretariat;

(c) if so, what are the reasons for Such revision and in what manner the said revision was carried out without affecting financial aspect adversely;

(d) whether the Possible repercus-ssion was positively adjusted in case of revision of pay scale of Assistants in Central Secretariat; if so, what are the reasons for not achieving the same in case of revision of pay scale for UDCs in Central Secretariat;

(e) whether the matter has been referred to the Board of Arbitration;

(f) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(g) how Government propose to bring down wide difference between pay scales off UDCs and Assistants to a reasonable level?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AJ^JD PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) to (d) The considerations on which pay scale Assistants was revised do not obtain in the case of pay scale of UDCs. In pursu. ant to oriei-8 dated 23.5.1989 ^ Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi; in OA No. 1538|87; the pay scale of Assistants was reviewed to set right an anomaly Additional expenditure which was likely to be incurred due to that revision was also taken into account.